

biology, behavior and adaptation of bats in urban environments. The objective of this study was to identify the several specimens of bats received for rabies diagnosis at the Pasteur Institute, meet the positive index of them and assess their distribution on the feeding habits in relation to the seasons. In the period between January and December 2011, specimens of bats were received for the rabies diagnosis and submitted to identify the family, genus and/or species, according to identification keys, journals and scientific books. We also recorded the feeding habits and month of capture of animals. The period of this study was divided according to the seasons. During this period, 2846 specimens of bats were identified, being 77.6%, 11.4% and 11% belonging to the *Molossidae*, *Vespertilionidae* and *Phyllostomidae* families, respectively. Of the total of bats identified 88.53% had habits insectivorous, 6.82% frugivorous, 4.39% sucking nectar and 0.28% hematophagous. In the warmer seasons of the year (spring and summer) it was received 1808 bats being 1727 insectivorous, 48 frugivorous, 29 sucking nectar and two hematophagous. In the colder seasons (autumn and winter), it was received 1038 bats being divided into 791 insectivorous, 145 frugivorous, 95 sucking nectar and 6 hematophagous. In relation to the rabies diagnosis, 37 specimens (1.3%) were diagnosed as positive, including the families *Vespertilionidae* (17), *Phyllostomidae* (13) and *Molossidae* (7). According to feeding habits bats were classified into 30 insectivorous and seven frugivorous specimens. The positive rate in the winter, were four insectivorous and one frugivorous, and it seems smaller than other stations. The positive rate in the summer was higher in *Vespertilionidae* than in *Molossidae*. This result was different that was observed by Constantine in the USA. He observed the higher rate positive in *Molossidae*. The differences observed in this study suggested the importance of feeding habits and breeding season of bats, considering principally the supply of food and warm seasons. The knowledge of bats and seasonal identification studies can contribute to improve the epidemiological surveillance program used in rabies management in determining the existence of bats of different families and/or feeding habits in different seasons.

#### PT.044

#### STUDY AND DISTRIBUTION OF RABIES VIRUS IN NON NEURONAL ORGANS IN BATS SENT TO LABORATORY DIAGNOSIS IN PASTEUR INSTITUTE

Lima JYO<sup>1</sup>, Achkar SM<sup>1</sup>, Scheffer KC<sup>1</sup>, Castilho JG<sup>1</sup>, Rodrigues AC<sup>1</sup>, Kotait I<sup>1</sup>, Carrieri ML<sup>1</sup> – <sup>1</sup>Instituto Pasteur

Bats are considered important reservoirs of rabies virus, which is paramount in the study of the pathogenesis of this zoonosis disease, through the use of sensitive systems. The presence of viral antigen in these species shows that non-neuronal viral spread is efficient in different organs that participate effectively in the elimination of rabies virus, such as, salivary glands and bladder. This study aimed to investigate the presence of rabies virus in samples of bats submitted for laboratory diagnosis, as well as, to study the pathogenesis of the disease through the use of laboratory animals. 3,930 routine diagnostic specimens of bats were processed during the period between January 2011 and May 2012 by direct immunofluorescence (DIF) and viral isolation on murine neuroblastoma cells (VICC) techniques. 58 samples were diagnosed rabies positive from 37 bats in 2011 and 21 samples were diagnosis rabies positive in 2012, representing a positivity rate of 1.80%. We randomly selected 28 bats from rabies positive which were submitted to collect organs for preparation of inoculum in the proportion to the ratio of 1:10 for salivary glands and tongues, and 1:20 for bladders, which were inoculated in volume of 0.03 mL by the intracerebral route in post-weaning Swiss mice (21 days old and weighing 11g and 14g). Clinical observation was performed during 30 days and the presence

of the virus was verified by the DIF technique in diseased and dead animals. 60.7%, 50% and 42.8% of animals selected for the study were rabies positive by viral isolation in the salivary glands, tongue and bladder, respectively. The minimum incubation period was seven days and maximum incubation period varied between 17 and 21 days. The present study demonstrated the presence of rabies virus in non-neuronal organs (salivary gland, bladder and tongue) in 67.8% rabies positive animals in central nervous system (CNS). The detection of rabies virus in non-neuronal organs by DIF and virus isolation has been observed in several studies. For studies of pathogenesis of rabies in bats, these results demonstrate that the use of mice is still a good alternative. Due to lack to use CNS in routine practice in bats for reasons of poor preservation of the specimen, it may be necessary to use nonneuronal organs in order to obtain the positive rabies diagnosis.

#### PT.045

#### EFFECTS OF ONRAB IN SELECT NON-TARGET WILDLIFE SPECIES

Fry TL<sup>1</sup>, VanDalen K<sup>1</sup>, Duncan C<sup>2</sup>, VerCauteren K<sup>1</sup> – <sup>1</sup>USDA-APHIS-WN-National Wildlife Research Center, <sup>2</sup>Colorado State University

ONRAB<sup>®</sup> is a recombinant rabies vaccine used to as an oral vaccine in wildlife species such as: fox (*Vulpes vulpes*), raccoons (*Procyon lotor*), and striped skunks (*Mephitis mephitis*). The viral vector in the ONRAB<sup>®</sup> vaccine is human adenovirus type 5 (HAd5) with the gene for rabies glycoprotein incorporated into its genome. HAd5 is a relatively safe and well-studied virus, which is used in many vaccine formulations. Canadian researchers (e.g., Knowles et al. 2009) have conducted vaccine efficacy and safety studies using ONRAB<sup>®</sup> in 18 species of animals. Our research expands on the species previously evaluated. We studied the vaccine as it relates to its safety in wildlife species likely to contact the ONRAB<sup>®</sup> vaccine during oral rabies vaccine (ORV) campaigns in the United States. We investigated the effects of high doses of the ONRAB<sup>®</sup> vaccine in wood rats (*Neotoma spp.*), eastern cottontail rabbits (*Sylvilagus floridanus*), Virginia opossums (*Didelphis virginiana*), Eastern wild turkey (*Meleagris gallopavosilvestri*), and fox squirrel (*Sciurus niger*), whose range overlaps with ORV target species in the United States. After inoculation of the animals we performed realtime PCR on fecal swabs, oral swabs, and tissues to detect viral DNA. Our preliminary results mostly concur with the findings of Knowles et al. (2009). By 7 days postvaccination, turkeys, opossums, and cottontails had all stopped shedding viral DNA. One woodrat and five fox squirrels still had detectable levels of viral DNA in fecal swabs on 7 days post-inoculation. However, 45% of fox squirrels were co-infected with *Leptospira interrogans*, which may be a confounding factor to the prolonged detection of viral DNA in fecal swabs from these animals. There were no significant findings on gross histology of liver, kidney, small intestine, large intestine, and lung in any of the species studied. We are currently completing PCR analysis of the tissues listed above as well as nasal turbinates. Initial results suggest low likelihood of persistence of ONRAB<sup>®</sup> in the environment or in individual animals that contact the vaccine. Our preliminary conclusions suggest that non-target species will not be negatively impacted by the distribution of ONRAB<sup>®</sup> as part of ORV programs in the United States.